Summary Report

Outdoor Aquatics- Strathcona County Aquatic Strategy

Previous survey results indicated that 89% of respondents feel that it is important to have a spray park in Strathcona County. Additionally, 51% of respondents preferred the option of one large, regional park. 37% preferred to have a network of small neighborhood sites. 13% did not want a spray park.

With the opening of the Clover Bar Ranch neighborhood spray deck this summer, as well as through dialogue during the focus groups, it became clear that the community is a bit fuzzy on what a regional spray park would include for features, and what a neighborhood spray deck would include.

Hopefully we can help clear up some questions and get a better understanding of what the community wants.

* Please note - the Clover Bar Ranch spray deck is NOT a model for future sites, as it is larger than a typical neighborhood spray deck, but smaller than a typical regional park.

| Feature | Neighborhood Spray Deck | Regional Spray Park |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Water sprayers | 3-5 | more than 15 |
| Washrooms | No | Yes |
| Picnic tables | Not always | Yes |
| Parking | No | Yes |
| Located near a playground | Usually | Yes |
| Safety features such as fencing, rubberized play surface | No | Potentially |
| Requires community support (sponsors/fundraising) to cover construction and operating costs | Most likely | Most likely |
| Walkable within a higher density neighborhood | Yes | Not always |
| Multiple sites available | Yes | No |
| Cost | approx \$375,000 each | approx \$1.5 million |

1. Based on this more detailed information, what would you prefer to see Strathcona County focus on for outdoor aquatics in the SHORT TERM (1-5 years)?

| Response | Chart | Percentage | Count |
|---|-------|-----------------|-------|
| A series of 4-5 geographically spread out neighborhood spray parks, consisting of 3-5 water features/sprayers each, and no support amenities such as washrooms or parking | | 23% | 42 |
| A single regional spray park site, consisting of more than 15 water features/sprayers, and support amenities such as washrooms and additional parking | | 67% | 120 |
| I do not feel that additional spray parks should be a priority for Strathcona County | | 9% | 17 |
| | | Total Responses | 179 |

Strathcona County is currently facing a projected budget deficit, and a new spray park would have to compete for funding with all other municipal services and programs. If a new site (neighborhood spray deck OR regional spray park) was to rely on funding from the municipality, it is likely that the cost would come from a tax increase.

Do you believe that a new spray park/deck should be funded solely by Strathcona County taxpayers?

| Response | Chart | Percentage | Count |
|----------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| Yes | | 33% | 60 |
| No | | 67% | 122 |
| | | Total Responses | 182 |

2. Do you feel that funding for a spray park/deck should come entirely from sources OTHER than Strathcona County?

| Response | Chart | Percentage | Count | |
|----------|-------|------------|-------|--|
| Yes | | 14% | 16 | |

| No | 86% | 101 |
|----|-----------------|-----|
| | Total Responses | 117 |

3. Do you feel that funding the cost for construction and operation of a spray park/deck (neighborhood or regional) should be SHARED between Strathcona County and other partners?

| Response | Chart | Percentage | Count |
|----------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| Yes | | 92% | 110 |
| No | | 8% | 9 |
| | | Total Responses | 119 |

4. If you do not believe that a spray park/deck should be funded through taxpayers, where should the funding come from?

| Response | Chart | Percentage | Count |
|--|-------|-----------------|-------|
| User fees | | 10% | 11 |
| Corporate sponsors | | 44% | 47 |
| Community Associations (local fundraising) | | 18% | 19 |
| Individual sponsors | | 4% | 4 |
| Other sources (please specify) | | 25% | 27 |
| | | Total Responses | 108 |

Examples from respondents preferring funding come from "other sources":

- part taxpayers, part fundraising, and corporate and individual sponsors
- a combination of all except user fees.
- I believe a combination of County tax payer support, a combination of corporate & individual sponsorship along with Community Associations would be the best scenario. I do not support user fees as my tax dollars are already paying I should not have to pay twice.